IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ABINGDON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
)	Case No. 1:04CR00072
)	
V.)	OPINION
)	
)	By: James P. Jones
STEVEN WINFIELD TOMERSHEA,		
)	United States District Judge
Defendant.)	

Steven Winfield Tomershea, Pro Se Defendant.

Defendant has filed a pleading that he styles as a "MOTION TO REOPEN IN LIGHT OF NEW UNITED STATES SUPREME COURTS DECISIONS." Defendant states that, under new case law, I should reopen his case, find that his trial counsel offered ineffective assistance regarding his guilty plea, and reduce his sentence. As defendant cites no authority for the relief he seeks, I must deny his "MOTION TO REOPEN." Moreover, based on the nature of defendant's claims, I construe defendant's pleading as a Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and summarily deny it without prejudice as successive, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255(h).

Court records indicate that defendant previously filed a § 2255 motion concerning this same conviction and sentence, *United States v. Tomershea*, Case

No. 1:04CR00072/1:12CV80436, 2012 WL 5465842 (W.D. Va. 2012)

(unpublished). This court may consider a second or successive § 2255 motion only

upon specific certification from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth

Circuit that the claims in the motion meet certain criteria. See § 2255(h). Because

Tomershea offers no indication that he has obtained certification from the court of

appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion, I must dismiss his current

action without prejudice.

A separate Final Order will be entered herewith.

DATED: November 6, 2013

/s/ James P. Jones

United States District Judge

-2-